

STANLEY M. GIBSON

Technology and IP Trial Lawyer

Representing inventors, manufacturers and owners

REPRESENTATIVE PATENT AND TECHNOLOGY CASES

Stan Gibson, an experienced technology and IP trial lawyer, represents inventors, manufacturers, owners and others in litigation centering on complicated technology. A partner at Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP (JMBM) in Los Angeles, Stan represents both defendants and plaintiffs and has litigated dozens of cases on behalf of his clients, taking many of them to trial. Although most cases settle, Stan's ability to take cases to trial enhances their value and drives favorable verdicts and settlements.

Stan's litigation practice is national in scope, involving major cases in federal courts and arbitrations throughout the United States. Whether Stan is representing an individual or a company, a start-up enterprise or a mature business, he dedicates his time and energy to formulating effective litigation strategy, taking and defending key depositions and winning the trial. While many matters do not need more than one or two experienced and aggressive lawyers with a smart strategy, Stan draws on JMBM's highly experienced litigation and IP teams when necessary.

Stan is also a co-founder of the Firm's Discovery Technology Group, specializing in advising clients on managing electronic information and e-discovery.

Stan's recent representative cases include the following:

Cases Involving Medical Devices

Medtronic v. Michelson (W.D. Tenn. 2004)

Unpaid royalties, breach of contract, patent infringement

Stan Gibson was one of the lead trial lawyers representing the inventor of revolutionary spinal fusion technology and the company he founded in a dispute over unpaid royalties, the scope of certain contracts and patent infringement. After a five-month jury trial, the jury found in favor of Dr. Michelson, awarding \$110 million in damages, \$60 million in patent infringement damages and \$400 million in punitive damages. Medtronic subsequently acquired Dr. Michelson's patents and technology for \$1.35 billion.

SciCoTec v. Boston Scientific (E.D. Tex. 2009)

Patent infringement

Represented the inventor of a revolutionary design change in angioplasty catheters, used in the most advanced catheters for angioplasty procedures. Client brought a patent infringement suit against Boston Scientific, which settled the day before jury selection.

Frey v. Medtronic (D. Col. 2011)

Breach of Contract

Represented medical doctor/inventor in dispute over royalties for patented technology.

Lenox MacLaren v. Medtronic (D. Col./Arbitration 2009)

Patent infringement, breach of contract

Represented a medical device manufacturer in patent infringement and breach of contract action against Medtronic pertaining to a device used in spinal fusion surgery. Arbitration award in favor of client.

Los Angeles

1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: 310.203.8080
Fax: 310.203.0567

Orange County

3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: 949.623.7200
Fax: 949.623.7202

San Francisco

Two Embarcadero Center, 5th
Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: 415.398.8080
Fax: 415.398.5584

Terray v. Zimmer (Chicago - Arbitration 2009)
Breach of contract

Represented manufacturer of plates used to treat fractures in trauma cases. Patented plates were manufactured by Zimmer internally in breach of contract. Arbitration award in favor of client.

Computers/Internet/Software Cases

Hospital Systems Corp. v. Diamedx, Inc. (E.D. Tex. 2010)
Patent infringement

Represented Intuitive in a patent infringement case over the use of software magnification technology. Case settled with a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction pending.

Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Cyberlink Corp. (E.D. Tex. 2010)
Patent infringement

Represents Diskeeper Corporation in a patent infringement case over the use of activation software. Uniloc dismissed the case with prejudice with no payment from Diskeeper.

Meade v. Celestron/Celestron v. Meade (C.D. Cal. 2003)
Patent infringement

Represented Celestron in a patent infringement dispute over software used to control the positioning of amateur telescopes. After Meade's attempt at a preliminary injunction was denied and with Celestron's motion for summary judgment pending, case settled on favorable terms.

EMG v. Apple (E.D. Tex. 2009)
Patent infringement

Represented the owner of patents covering, among other things, a simplified navigation system for browsing the Internet on a mobile device or television. Case settled.

EMG v. Microsoft (E.D. Tex. 2009)
Patent infringement

Represented the owner of patents covering, among other things, a simplified navigation system for browsing the Internet on a mobile device or television. Case settled.

EMG v. Dr. Pepper (E.D. Tex. 2011)
Patent Infringement

Represent the owner of patents covering, among other things, a simplified navigation system for browsing the Internet on a mobile device or television. Case is currently pending.

Landmark v. Zale (E.D. Tex.2009)
Patent infringement

Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet. All defendants settled before filing an answer.

Los Angeles

1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: 310.203.8080
Fax: 310.203.0567

Orange County

3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: 949.623.7200
Fax: 949.623.7202

San Francisco

Two Embarcadero Center, 5th
Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: 415.398.8080
Fax: 415.398.5584

Landmark v. Aeropostale (E.D. Tex. 2009)

Patent infringement

Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet. Case is currently pending.

Landmark Technology, Inc. v. Blockbuster, Inc. (E.D. Tex. 2010)

Patent infringement

Represents Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, a simplified navigation system for browsing the Internet on a mobile device or television. Case settled.

Landmark v. BJs (E.D. Tex 2010)

Patent infringement

Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet. Case settled.

Landmark Technology v. Cinemark (E.D. Tex. 2011)

Patent Infringement

Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet. Case settled.

Interactive Software v. Artafact (D. Mass. 2009)

Patent infringement

Represent Artafact in patent infringement case centered on the technology for online focus groups. Successfully stayed the case pending re-examination of the patent at issue.

Playboy v. Netscape and Excite (C.D. Cal./Ninth Circuit 2000-2004)

Internet advertising

In one of the first cases involving key word advertising on the Internet, served as technology litigation attorney representing Netscape and Excite in a lawsuit brought by Playboy. Obtained summary judgment on behalf of Netscape and Excite, which was subsequently appealed to the Ninth Circuit and the Ninth Circuit remanded to the

district court for a determination as to whether Playboy could show a likelihood of consumer confusion. *Playboy Enterprises v. Netscape Communications*, 354 F.2d 1020 (9th Cir. 2004).

Satellite Technology

Intraspace Satellite Corporation v. Continental Satellite Corporation (Arbitration)

Breach of contract

Represented a small satellite manufacturer in a breach of contract action in which the defendant, Continental Satellite Corporation, failed to pay milestone payments upon termination of a satellite manufacturing contract due under a termination for convenience clause. During a six month arbitration, we presented testimony of the client and experts proving that the client had performed a significant amount of reliable engineering work. At the conclusion of the arbitration, the arbitrator awarded \$16.7 million, which was later confirmed by the Court in a judgment against Continental.

Los Angeles

1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: 310.203.8080
Fax: 310.203.0567

Orange County

3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: 949.623.7200
Fax: 949.623.7202

San Francisco

Two Embarcadero Center, 5th
Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: 415.398.8080
Fax: 415.398.5584

Intraspace Satellite Corporation v. Lockheed Martin (Santa Clara 2001)

Contract interference

Represented Intraspace in a case against Lockheed Martin for intentional interference with contractual relationships in which Lockheed had Intraspace's contract to build four direct broadcast satellites terminated so that it could give the contract to its own subsidiary. After proving that Intraspace had the ability to manufacture the satellites, the jury found that Lockheed had interfered with the contract and awarded Intraspace \$8.5 million in damages.

Intraspace v. Loral and Rainbow DBS (Santa Clara 2001)

Fraudulent transfer and alter ego

Lead trial attorney in action for fraudulent transfer and alter ego against Loral and Rainbow DBS, a subsidiary of Cablevision and joint venture with Loral. Case centered on the transfer of a valuable FCC license out of a subsidiary of Loral and into Rainbow DBS. The case settled a couple of weeks before trial with a favorable result for the client.

Other Technology

Semiconductor Laboratories v. Chimei Innolux (C.D. Cal. 2012)

Patent Infringement

Represent defendants Chimei Innolux, Chi Mei Optoelectronics, Acer, Viewsonic and Vizio in patent litigation relating to the manufacture of liquid crystal displays; case is currently pending in the Central District of California.

Thinkoptics v. Nyko (E.D. Tex. 2011)

Patent Infringement

Represent defendant Nyko in a patent infringement action in the Eastern District of Texas over pointer technology; case is currently pending.

Ogma v. Nyko (E.D. Tex and ITC 2011)

Patent Infringement

Represented defendant Nyko in a patent infringement action in the International Trade Commission ("ITC") and Eastern District of Texas regarding accelerometer technology. Case settled successfully after minimal discovery and after exchange of infringement and invalidity positions.

Sealant Systems International v. TEK Global (S.D. N.Y 2010/N.D. Cal. 2011)

Patent infringement

Represent the defendant in a patent infringement case over an onboard tire repair system. Case was successfully transferred from New York. Case is currently pending.

Pure Fishing v. Shimano (D. S.C./Columbia Division 2010)

Patent infringement

Represent the defendant in a patent infringement case over fishing line. Case settled.

4522958 Canada v. KY Wholesale (E.D. Cal 2009)

Patent infringement

Represent the plaintiff in a patent infringement case over hedge trimmers. Case is currently pending.

Los Angeles

1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: 310.203.8080
Fax: 310.203.0567

Orange County

3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: 949.623.7200
Fax: 949.623.7202

San Francisco

Two Embarcadero Center, 5th
Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: 415.398.8080
Fax: 415.398.5584

Large Audience Display Systems, LLC v. The Los Angeles Lakers (E.D. Tex. 2010 C.D. Cal. 2011)
Patent infringement

Represent The Los Angeles Lakers, Inc. in a patent infringement case over the use of screen technology. Case was successfully transferred to the Central District of California. Case is currently pending.

Nautilus v. Icon (W.D. Wash./D. Utah 2007)
Patent infringement and trademark infringement

Represented Nautilus in a lawsuit over the Bowflex exercise machine in patent and trademark disputes against its competitor, Icon Health & Fitness. After a trial and two appeals to the Federal Circuit, the case settled before a second trial and while the second appeal to the Federal Circuit was pending.

Park Smith v. Smith & Noble (S.D.N.Y. 2006)
Design patent infringement

Patent lawyer representing the defendant in a design patent infringement case. Case settled on favorable terms with no discovery or depositions taking place.

Peregrine Pharmaceuticals v. Cancer Therapeutic (Orange County 2008)
Breach of contract

Technology counsel representing defendant in breach of contact case over revolutionary cancer drug for treating lung cancer. Case is still pending.

Straman v. Volkswagen of America (Orange County 2003)
Antitrust and unfair competition

Represented Volkswagen of America in antitrust and unfair competition case brought by modifiers of Volkswagen New Beetles into convertibles. Case involved proving that re-engineering of New Beetles was not safe and did not meet federal safety standards. Case settled favorably after expert discovery.

Contact Us

I am committed to enforcing and defending the technology, patent and intellectual property rights of my clients. I would be pleased to discuss your technology and your legal issues, and invite you to contact me.

Stan Gibson
SGibson@JMBM.com
310.201.3548

Duke University School of Law, J.D.
Duke University, M.A.
University of California, Berkeley, B.A.

Los Angeles
1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: 310.203.8080
Fax: 310.203.0567

Orange County
3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: 949.623.7200
Fax: 949.623.7202

San Francisco
Two Embarcadero Center, 5th
Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: 415.398.8080
Fax: 415.398.5584